NATIONAL JUDICIAL ACADEMY

P 991: Annual National Seminar on Functions of the Registrar General in different High Courts

September 17-18, 2016

Programme Coordinator: Dr. Amit Mehrotra, Assistant Professor
Number of Participants: 19
No of forms received: 18

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>I. OVERALL</th>
<th>PROPOSITIONS</th>
<th>To a great extent</th>
<th>To some extent</th>
<th>Not at all</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. The objective of the Program was clear to me</td>
<td>66.67</td>
<td>33.33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. The subject matter of the program is useful and relevant to my work</td>
<td>61.11</td>
<td>38.89</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this program</td>
<td>44.44</td>
<td>55.56</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work</td>
<td>55.56</td>
<td>44.44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>44.44</td>
<td>5.56</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>II. KNOWLEDGE</th>
<th>PROPOSITIONS</th>
<th>To a great extent</th>
<th>To some extent</th>
<th>Not at all</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Useful to my work</td>
<td>38.89</td>
<td>61.11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)</td>
<td>23.53</td>
<td>64.71</td>
<td>11.76</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Up to date</td>
<td>31.25</td>
<td>68.75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice</td>
<td>35.29</td>
<td>58.82</td>
<td>5.88</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The program provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Related to International Legal Norms</th>
<th>18.75</th>
<th>56.25</th>
<th>18.75</th>
<th>6.25</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROPOSITIONS</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Satisfactory</th>
<th>Unsatisfactory</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. The structure and sequence of the program was logical</td>
<td>58.82</td>
<td>41.18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. The program was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz.</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>40.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i. Interactive sessions were fruitful</td>
<td>53.33</td>
<td>46.67</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii. Simulation Exercises were valuable</td>
<td>38.46</td>
<td>61.54</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iii. Audio Visual Aids were beneficial</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### IV. INDIVIDUAL SESSIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROPOSITIONS</th>
<th>To a great extent</th>
<th>To some extent</th>
<th>Not at all</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized</td>
<td>56.25</td>
<td>43.75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. The session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons</td>
<td>47.06</td>
<td>52.94</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### V. PROGRAM MATERIALS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROPOSITIONS</th>
<th>To a great extent</th>
<th>To some extent</th>
<th>Not at all</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. The Program material is useful and relevant</td>
<td>76.47</td>
<td>23.53</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/current thinking/research/policy in the discussed area</td>
<td>52.94</td>
<td>41.18</td>
<td>5.88</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. The content was organized and easy to follow</td>
<td>62.50</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>12.50</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### VI. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS
| a. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme | 1. Leadership; Time management; Duty of Registrar General.  
2. Participant did not comment  
3. Time management  
4. All  
5. 1. Interactive sessions; 2. Exchange of views; 3. Expanding the sphere of work.  
6. 1. It will help at the operational level; 2. Has been very useful in skill development; 3. It has updated knowledge about the programme at national level.  
7. Importance of leadership skills; Capacity for occupational stress management and Time Management skills.  
8. Interaction with the peers  
9. How to cope up with stress; How to prepare Budget; Team Building skills.  
10. Participant did not comment  
11. Helpful to great extent.  
12. Participant did not comment  
13. Uniformity  
14. Participant did not comment  
15. Participant did not comment  
16. Participant did not comment  
17. Participant did not comment  
18. Participant did not comment |
| --- | --- |
| b. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why | 1. Justice Kurian Joseph  
2. Participant did not comment  
3. Participant did not comment  
4. Participant did not comment  
5. Skills required for RG: As a spokesperson of the High Court- useful because it addressed different issues elaborately  
6. Skill development and time management.  
7. Time Skill Management. I can now manage and control my stress accordingly.  
9. Time Management Skills; Skill Management Budget preparation; |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Registrar General is head of office so lot of stress, being link between High Court and State Judiciary, so financial aspect has to be taken care of.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10. Participant did not comment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Participant did not comment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Importance of Leadership skill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Participant did not comment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Participant did not comment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Participant did not comment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Participant did not comment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Participant did not comment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>c. Which part of the Programme did you find least useful and why</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2. Participant did not comment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Participant did not comment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Participant did not comment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Participant did not comment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Practices and Proceeding in Court proceeding as lot is required to be done as every state has different nomenclature.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Participant did not comment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Participant did not comment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Participant did not comment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Participant did not comment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Participant did not comment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Participant did not comment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Participant did not comment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>d. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Briefing experts about the background of subject matter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Participant did not comment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Participant did not comment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Participant did not comment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. RG should attend along with other Registrars concerned with relevant departments of Registry, under discussion, e.g. CPC for NJDG.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>