

**NATIONAL JUDICIAL ACADEMY**  
**P-1047: Workshop for Additional District Judges**  
15<sup>th</sup> – 17<sup>th</sup> September, 2017

**Programme Coordinator** : Mr. Rajesh Suman, Assistant Professor, NJA, Bhopal  
**No. of Participants** : 35  
**No. of forms received** : 35

<b>I. OVERALL</b>				
<b>PROPOSITIONS</b>	<b>To a great extent</b>	<b>To some extent</b>	<b>Not at all</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
a. The objective of the Program was clear to me	<b>88.57</b>	<b>11.43</b>	-	-
b. The subject matter of the program is useful and relevant to my work	<b>77.14</b>	<b>22.86</b>	-	-
c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this program	<b>74.29</b>	<b>25.71</b>	-	-
d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	<b>80.00</b>	<b>20.00</b>	-	-
e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	<b>76.47</b>	<b>23.53</b>	-	-
<b>II. KNOWLEDGE</b>				
<b>PROPOSITIONS</b>	<b>To a great extent</b>	<b>To some extent</b>	<b>Not at all</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
The program provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:				
a. Useful to my work	<b>74.29</b>	<b>25.71</b>	-	-
b. Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)	<b>64.71</b>	<b>35.29</b>	-	-
c. Up to date	<b>68.57</b>	<b>31.43</b>	-	-
d. Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice	<b>54.29</b>	<b>42.46</b>	<b>2.85</b>	-

e. Related to International Legal Norms	32.35	58.82	8.83	-
<b>III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAM</b>				
<b>PROPOSITIONS</b>	<b>Good</b>	<b>Satisfactory</b>	<b>Unsatisfactory</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
a. The structure and sequence of the program was logical	88.57	11.43	-	-
b. The program was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz.				
(i) Group discussions	60.00	34.29	5.71	-
(ii) Case studies were relevant	61.76	38.24	-	-
(iii) Interactive sessions were fruitful	67.65	29.41	2.94	-
(iv) Audio Visual Aids were beneficial	58.82	38.24	2.94	-
<i>(To be modified as per the sessions planned)</i>				
<b>IV SESSIONS WISE VETTING</b>				
<b>Parameters</b>				
<b>Session</b>	<b>Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized</b>		<b>The Session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons</b>	
	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory	Effective and useful	satisfactory
1	80.00	20.00	76.92	23.08
2	65.71	34.29	69.23	30.77
3	71.43	28.57	73.08	26.92
4	80.00	20.00	76.92	23.08
5	91.43	8.57	92.00	8.00
6	85.71	14.29	92.00	8.00
7	91.43	8.57	95.83	4.17
8	91.18	8.82	95.65	4.35
<b>V. PROGRAM MATERIALS</b>				
<b>PROPOSITIONS</b>	<b>To a great extent</b>	<b>To some extent</b>	<b>Not at all</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
a. The Program material is useful and relevant	82.86	17.14	-	-

b. The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/ current thinking/ research/ policy in the discussed area	<b>88.57</b>	<b>11.43</b>	-	-
c. The content was organized and easy to follow	<b>77.14</b>	<b>22.86</b>	-	-

**VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS**

a. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme	<p>1. We acquired practical guidance from this workshop for running our courts. Very nice.</p> <p>2. Sharing new knowledge is being updated me &amp; all.</p> <p>3. We got how to use &amp; interpret the new amendments of electronic avid law of cyber-crimes; Different views of expert we got.</p> <p>4. Discussion after outcome in sentencing policy; Debate and clarity on electronic evidence.</p> <p>5. 1. Information given by Mr. H. D'Costa was most informing be useful; 2. Learnt many things about electronic evidence; 3. Fair sentencing &amp; fair sessions trail will help are in future.</p> <p>6. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>7. Cyber-crime &amp; Stanching.</p> <p>8. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>9. This programme will enhance our still in managing court cases, dealing theming effective manner with the knowledge imparted any various resource persons.</p> <p>10. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>11. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>12. 1. Cyber forensics; 2. Use of section 91 CPC; 3. Sentencing in criminal matters.</p> <p>13. 1. Clarity about arbitration Act; 2. Cyber-crime; 3. Sentencing.</p> <p>14. Having interaction with fellow colloquies &amp; getting to know the broader spectrum provided by the resource persons have improved me to have better perspective of adjudication process.</p> <p>15. Electronic evidence basis relating to cybercrime.</p>
---	--

	<p>16. NJA is simply superb and unique institution as it starts impacting training from the very moment participants arrive at Bhopal. The hospitality, response and since to stick to push values in our works too. The academic knowledge me get are excellent.</p> <p>17. Sentencing; Fair session trail; Cyber-crime;</p> <p>18. Cyber-crime and Law related to cyber-crime; Electronic evidence- Fair sessions trail- including sentencing issues.</p> <p>19. If possible to give opportunity to all judicial member; Having Indian Judiciary of one place.</p> <p>20. 1. Update to knowledge of law; 2. Encourage to confidence level; 3. Help to clear dealing about law &amp; procedure.</p> <p>21. 1. Court &amp; case management; 2. Best judgement.</p> <p>22. 1. Knowledge about officers available to technological advancement; 2. Ideology about the fairness in session trial; 3. Ideology about the sentencing policy.</p> <p>23. None.</p> <p>24. Cyber Crime.</p> <p>25. Gathered a larger perspective on the topics for discussion; The developments of law in the topics given; The need to update.</p> <p>26. Cyber-crimes; Case &amp; court management; Sentencing S-91 Cr. P.C.</p> <p>27. 1. Regarding court Management; 2. Relating to Cyber-crime.</p> <p>28. Learnt on grey areas and new information practical in cyber-crimes.</p> <p>29. In day to day administration to my Judicial functions I learnt how to ensure fair session trial and how to comply ADR and role of judiciary.</p> <p>30. None.</p> <p>31. 1. Most updated information got, regarding the collection of cyber evidence; 2. The importance of answering issues by trial count &amp; the impact of non—filing can objection made clear; 3. The consideration of a judge which sentencing an accused was very clearly discussed and very good scenario of obtained that in a very good guidance in future.</p> <p>32. Law relating to cyber-crime.</p> <p>33. None.</p>
--	--

	<p>34. 1. Under standing position of law; 2. Clarifying doubts by making discussion &amp; interaction.</p> <p>35. How false ID/ profiles is created and used and how through goal recall our can take the criminals; IN implementation of ADR; Fair session trial.</p>
<p>b. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why</p>	<p>1. Sentencing, cybercrime, Electronic evidence sentencing.</p> <p>2. Electronic evidence collection approach &amp; discussion; Cyber-crimes.</p> <p>3. <i>Session 4: Electronic Evidence: Collection, Preservation and Appreciation; Session 5: Laws relating to Cybercrimes: Advances and Problem Areas</i>– for practical aspect.</p> <p>4. Sentencing policy &amp; electronic evidence B/c grey areas on these subjects have beautifully explained &amp; discussed.</p> <p>5. Speech of Mr. Harold D’Costa was most interesting.</p> <p>6. Laws relating to cyber-crimes</p> <p>7. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>8. <i>Session 4: Electronic Evidence: Collection, Preservation and Appreciation and Session 7: Fair Sessions Trial.</i></p> <p>9. 1. Electronic evidence; 2. Cyber-crime; 3. Sentencing policy.</p> <p>10. <i>Session5: Laws relating to Cybercrimes: Advances and Problem Areas; and Session 6: Electronic Evidence: Appreciation.</i></p> <p>11. Law relating to cyber-crimes.</p> <p>12. All the sessions were useful as all the session went deep into the subject and presented both sides of views.</p> <p>13. Lector on cyber-crime.</p> <p>14. Session on cyber-crime &amp; sentencing.</p> <p>15. Electronic evident; It is new parameter &amp; clear lots of doubts.</p> <p>16. All programmes appeased most useful. Particularly, topic of fairness in trial, sentencing issue, electronic evidence issue and cyber-crime topics were very impressively dealt with despots most of our confusions which we get encountered is on day to day.</p> <p>17. Sentencing policy.</p> <p>18. Interaction Session.</p> <p>19. Cyber-crimes explained by practically for relating which is technically new subject.</p>

	<p>20. <b>Session 7: Fair Sessions Trial &amp; Sentencing.</b></p> <p>21. None.</p> <p>22. Offences referable to technological advancement became in WGS demonstrative.</p> <p>23. Very good.</p> <p>24. <b>Session5: Laws relating to Cybercrimes: Advances and Problem Areas; Session 6: Electronic Evidence: Appreciation and Session 7: Fair Sessions Trial.</b></p> <p>25. The session on cyber-crimes and investigation techniques was very useful because, it is the emerging kind in which whiteness is submitted to the court.</p> <p>26. All</p> <p>27. <b>Session 4: Electronic Evidence: Collection, Preservation and Appreciation; Session5: Laws relating to Cybercrimes: Advances and Problem Areas and Session 7: Fair Sessions Trial.</b></p> <p>28. Cyber-crimes and treated awareness.</p> <p>29. Law resolving to cyber-crimes and fair sessions trial and sentencing Policy.</p> <p>30. Collection of evidence in cybercrime and sentencing policy in session level.</p> <p>31. The last session regarding sentencing by the judge.</p> <p>32. Sentencing.</p> <p>33. None.</p> <p>34. <b>Session5: Laws relating to Cybercrimes: Advances and Problem Areas; Session 7: Fair Sessions Trial and Session 8: Sentencing: Issues and Challenges.</b></p> <p>35. <b>Session5: Laws relating to Cybercrimes: Advances and Problem Areas.</b></p>
<p>c. Which part of the Programme did you find least useful and why</p>	<p>1. None.</p> <p>2. As shown <b>Session 3: Civil Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges; Session 4: Electronic Evidence: Collection, Preservation and Appreciation.</b></p> <p>3. <b>Session1: Challenges in Implementation of ADR System in Subordinate Courts; Session 2: Court &amp; Case Management: Role of Judges and Session 3: Civil Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges–</b> No fruitful result has got.</p> <p>4. None.</p>

5. Civil justice administration Session-3 it should have been more informative.
6. Participant did not comment.
7. No.
8. **Session 3: Civil Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges.**
9. None
10. 1<sup>st</sup> since I have not dealt any arbitrator matter.
11. **Session 3: Civil Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges.**
12. Participant did not comment.
13. None
14. None
15. No.
16. No, All programme was useful.
17. All programme; I find more useful.
18. None
19. All useful subjects.
20. Implementation of ADR.
21. Participant did not comment.
22. Civil/Criminal justice administration because of the non-availability of time.
23. No
24. Participant did not comment.
25. **Session 2: Court & Case Management: Role of Judges and Session 3: Civil Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges-** largely are the ones which did not deal with intrinsic issue involve. Hence it was found less useful.
26. Participant did not comment.
27. Every part is useful.
28. No part.
29. All programmes are very useful.
30. Participant did not comment.
31. Participant did not comment.
32. Civil justice administration.

	<p>33. Much aspect of cyber-crime and process of law readily in electronic evidence, I found very useful</p> <p>34. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>35. All the programmes were good and useful.</p>
<p>d. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective</p>	<p>1. To make training/workshops more effective study materials may be supplied well ahead. We come to learn about the training very soon before the programme, so we cannot make ourselves prepared with the study materials. More training programmes should be organized; I am personally impressed by the action of the entire team of this Academy Well done by Mr. Rajesh Suman; Go ahead, best of luck.</p> <p>2. None</p> <p>3. The programme should be organized on the basis of practical problems.</p> <p>4. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>5. No such suggestion.</p> <p>6. There must be more days programme at least of 6 days.</p> <p>7. Please use the Hindi language because take the advantage of right side on the Hindi speakers.</p> <p>8. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>9. All was nice.</p> <p>10. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>11. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>12. NJA is on its own improving day by day so as to meet the expectation of the participants.</p> <p>13. None.</p> <p>14. By sending the reading material beforehand to the nominated officers.</p> <p>15. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>16. The NJA is simply unique, I don't feel any scope for any suggestion at all.</p> <p>17. No suggestion is required.</p> <p>18. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>19. None.</p> <p>20. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>21. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>22. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>23. Very good.</p> <p>24. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>25. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>26. Have more such programmes; An excellent experience on all courts, i.e. learning &amp; event hospitality. The staff were excellent also.</p>



	<p>27. None</p> <p>28. More courses on different subject of awareness.</p> <p>29. The National Judicial Academy is great institution in our country.</p> <p>30. By arranging seminar for new and new amendments in statute.</p> <p>31. Discussion on latest amendments in statutes including evidence Act.</p> <p>32. None.</p> <p>33. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>34. Instead of giving lecture by resource persons, it is proper to open the sessions by highlighting important points &amp; allow the participants to have discussion.</p> <p>35. Participant did not comment.</p>
--	---