

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Session - 1		
THE CONSTITUTIONAL VISION OF JUSTICE		
1.	<i>Nalin Kant Jha</i>, “Realising The Constitutional Vision: Road Blocks and Road Ahead” <i>The Indian Journal of Political Science</i> , 2005, 66(1), 9-28.	1
2.	<i>Justice S. B. Sinha</i>, “Constitutional Challenges in the 21st Century” <i>National Law School of India Review</i> , 2009.	21
3.	<i>Prof. Dr. N. R. Madhava Menon</i>, “A Vision of Indian Judiciary - 2025” <i>Lecture delivered at Maharashtra Judicial Academy, Uttan-Bhayandar.</i>	33
4.	<i>Richard A Posner</i>, “The Role of the Judge in the Twenty-First Century” <i>Boston University Law Review</i> 86(5), 1049-1068.	39
5.	<i>Rudolf C. Heredia</i>, “Just Ends through Just Means: Justice as Liberty, Equality, Solidarity” <i>Economic and Political Weekly</i> , 2011, 46(5), 47-54.	59
Important Precedents		
1.	Supreme Court Advocates on Record Association vs. Union of India (NJAC Judgement) <i>2015 AIR SCW 5457</i>	67
2.	Shayara Bano vs. Union of India & ors. (Triple Talaq Judgement) <i>2017 SCC OnLine SC 963</i>	69
3.	Justice K. S. Puttaswamy (Retd.) & Another vs. Union of India & ors. (Right to Privacy) <i>2017 SCC OnLine SC 996</i>	72
Session - 2		
HIGH COURT AND DISTRICT JUDICIARY: BUILDING SYNERGIES		
1.	<i>Herbert Jacob</i>, “The Governance of Trial Judges” <i>Law & Society Review</i> , 1997, 31(1), 3-30.	83
2.	<i>Justice U. L. Bhat</i>, “Judiciary – A Near Feudal Set up and Superintendence” <i>Chapter 48 from “Story of A Chief Justice” – An autobiography of Justice U. L. Bhat (2013)</i>	111

3.	Greg A. Caldeira, “The Incentives Of Trial Judges And The Administration Of Justice” <i>The Justice System Journal, 1977, 3(2), 163-180.</i>	121
4.	William J. Palmer, “Appellate Jurisprudence As Seen by a Trial Judge” <i>American Bar Association Journal, 1963, 49(9), 882-885.</i>	139
5.	Prof. (Dr.) Mukund Sarada, “Powers of Superior Courts to Pass Remarks against Subordinate Courts: A Study in the light of Amar Pal Singh’s Case” <i>Indian Bar Review, 2012, 39(4), 75 – 82</i>	143
Important Precedents		
1.	Awani Kumar Upadhyay vs. The High Court of Judicature at Allahabad & Ors. <i>(2013) 12 SCC 392</i>	149
2.	Amar Pal Singh vs. State of UP <i>(2012) 6 SCC 491</i>	150
3.	Registrar General, Patna High Court vs. Pandey Gajendra Prasad & Ors. <i>(2012) 6 SCC 357</i>	152
4.	Khazia Mohammed Muzammil vs. The State of Karnataka & another <i>(2010) 8 SCC 155</i>	154
5.	Syed T A Naqshbandi vs. State of Jammu & Kashmir <i>(2013) 9 SCC 592</i>	161
6.	Chandra Singh vs. State of Rajasthan & another <i>(2003) 6 SCC 545</i>	165
7.	High Court of Judicature at Rajasthan vs. P. P. Singh <i>(2003) 4 SCC 239</i>	167
8.	Gauhati High Court & another vs. Kuladhar Phukan & another <i>(2002) 4 SCC 524</i>	170
9.	‘K’ – A Judicial Officer (In Re) <i>(2001) 3 SCC 554</i>	173
10.	Samsher Singh vs State of Punjab & Anr. <i>AIR 1974 SC 2192</i>	177
11.	The High Court of Judicature at Bombay vs Shashikant S. Patil & Anr. <i>AIR 2000 SC 22</i>	193
12.	Samya Sett vs. Shambu Sarkar & Anr. <i>AIR 2005 SC 3309</i>	198

Session - 3

ELEMENTS OF JUDICIAL BEHAVIOUR: ETHICS, NEUTRALITY AND PROFESSIONALISM

1.	“The Bangalore Principles of Judicial Conduct” <i>Adopted by Judicial Group on Strengthening Judicial Integrity, as Revised at the Round Table Meeting of Chief Justices Held at the Peace Palace, The Hague, November 25 – 26, 2002</i>	205
2.	Justice G. S. Singhvi, “Judicial Ethics” <i>Journal of Delhi Judicial Academy, 2011, 7(2), 93 – 106</i>	216
3.	Justice V. K. Bist, “Judicial Behaviour and Conduct in the Present Scenario” <i>Uttarakhand Judicial & Legal Review, 1(1), 1 - 6</i>	230
4.	Vibha Yadav, “The Wife of a Judge” <i>Uttarakhand Judicial & Legal Review, 3(1), 40 - 41</i>	236
5.	Justice John Z. Vertes, “Why Can’t We be Friends? Should Judges be on Facebook?” <i>Journal of the Commonwealth Magistrates’ and Judges’ Association, December 2011, 19(2), 3 - 9</i>	238
6.	William W. Schwarzer, “Dealing with Incompetent Counsel: The Trial Judge’s Role” <i>Harvard Law Review, 1980, 93(4), 633-669.</i>	245
7.	Brockenbrough Lamb, “The Duty of Judges: A Government of Laws and Not of Men” <i>American Bar Association Journal, 1958, 44(7), 623-624.</i>	282
8.	Marek Safjan, “Politics—and Constitutional Courts (Judge’s Personal Perspective)” <i>Polish Sociological Review, 2005, 16(5), 3-25.</i>	284
9.	Kathy Mack, & Sharyn Roach Anleu, “Performing Impartiality: Judicial Demeanor and Legitimacy” <i>Law & Social Inquiry, 2010, 35(1), 137-173.</i>	307
Important Precedents		
1.	Arundhati Ashok Walavalkar vs. State of Maharashtra <i>(2011) 1 SCR 355</i>	344

Session - 4

**SOCIAL CONTEXT JUDGING AS A CONTROLLING ELEMENT IN STATUTORY
INTERPRETATION AND EXERCISE OF DISCRETION**

1.	Prof. Dr. N. R. Madhava Menon, “Social Context Education for Social Justice Adjudication” <i>Journal of The National Judicial Academy, 2005, 1, 241 - 260</i>	347
2.	Hon. Stephen Reinhardt, “The Role of Social Justice in Judging Cases” <i>University of St. Thomas Law Journal, 2003, 1(1), 18 - 29</i>	367
3.	Malcolm Potter, “Social Awareness Training for the Judiciary” <i>Inter Alia: University of Durham Student Law Journal, 1992, 4, 17-18.</i>	379
4.	Barak, Aharon, “On Society, Law, and Judging” <i>Tulsa Law Review, 2011, 47(2), 297-318.</i>	381
5.	Jeremy Cooper, “Poverty and Constitutional Justice: The Indian Experience” <i>Mercer Law Review, 1993, 44(2), 611-636.</i>	403

Important Precedents

1.	In Re: Inhuman Conditions in 1382 Prisons <i>(2016)3 SCC 700</i>	428
2.	Dharam Pal vs. State of Haryana <i>2016 (1) SCALE 635</i>	439
3.	Alsia Pardhi vs. State of MP <i>2013 (14) SCALE 617</i>	440
4.	Public Union for Civil Liberties vs. State of Tamil Nadu and others <i>(2013) 1 SCC 585</i>	445
5.	Delhi Jal Board vs. National Campaign for Dignity and Rights of Sewerage & Allied Worker and others <i>(2011) 8 SCC 568</i>	449

Session - 5

**ACCESS TO JUSTICE: INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY IN
COURTS**

1.	Justice Swatanter Kumar, “Increased Use of Technology in Courts: Benefits and Pitfalls” <i>Journal of Delhi Judicial Academy, January 2011,7(1), 7 - 17</i>	455
----	---	-----

2.	James Crowell, “The Electronic Courtroom” <i>Boston University Journal of Science Technology Law, 1998, 4, 334-343.</i>	466
3.	Richard Zorza, & Donald Horowitz, “The Washington State Access to Justice Technology Principles: A Perspective for Justice System Professionals” <i>The Justice System Journal, 2006, 27(3), 248-267.</i>	476
4.	Ronald Van Den Hoogen, “Will E-Justice Still be Justice? Principles of a Fair Electronic Trial” <i>International Journal for Court Administration, January 2008, 65 - 73</i>	496
5.	Atul Kaushik, “Bringing the ‘E’ to Judicial Efficiency: Implementing the e-Courts System in India” <i>Chapter 2 from Book titled ‘State of the Indian Judiciary: A Report by DAKSH’ 25, (Lucknow: EBC Publishing Pvt. Ltd., 2016), 25 – 40</i>	505
6.	Rishi Prakash, T. Mohanty, Ramji Gupta & Vinay Jain, “ICT in Indian Court: Challenges and Solutions” <i>International Journal of Internet Computing, 2011, 1(2), 21 – 24</i>	521
Session - 6		
ACCESS TO JUSTICE: COURT AND CASE MANAGEMENT		
1.	Justice P. Sathasivam, “Effective District Administration and Court Management” <i>Lecture delivered at Tamil Nadu State Judicial Academy on 15th June 2013</i>	525
2.	Collins E. Ijoma & Giuseppe N. Fazari, “Applying the Case Management CourTools: Findings from an Urban Trial Court” <i>International Journal for Court Administration, June 2012, 1 – 10</i>	538
3.	Erwin J. Rooze, “Differentiated use of Electronic Case Management Systems” <i>International Journal for Court Administration, November 2010, 1 – 10</i>	548
4.	Barry Walsh, “Judicial Productivity in India” <i>International Journal for Court Administration, January 2008, 23 - 30</i>	558
5.	Bert Maan, “Past & Future for Management of Courts” <i>International Journal for Court Administration, August 2009, 1 – 8</i>	566

6.	<i>John Brinkena & J. Michael Greenwood, “E-Filing Case Management Services in the US Federal Courts: The Next Generation: A Case Study”</i> <i>International Journal for Court Administration, July 2015, 3 - 17</i>	574
Important Precedents		
1.	Imtiyaz Ahmed vs. State of Uttar Pradesh & ors. <i>(2012) 2 SCC 688</i>	590
2.	Hussain and another vs. Union of India & another <i>(2017) 5 SCC 702</i>	606