

NATIONAL JUDICIAL ACADEMY

P-1082: National Judicial Conference for High Court Justices

2nd – 4th February, 2018

Programme Coordinator : Mr. Yogesh Pratap Singh, NJA, Bhopal

No. of Participants : 20

No. of forms received : 18

I. OVERALL				
PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The objective of the Program was clear to me	88.24	11.76	-	16. I felt enlighten
b. The subject matter of the program is useful and relevant to my work	38.89	61.11	-	15. Corporate fraud & PNDT Act are not directly relevant.
c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this program	47.06	52.94	-	-
d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	44.44	55.56	-	-
e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	55.56	38.89	5.56	-
II. KNOWLEDGE				
PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
The program provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:				
a. Useful to my work	50.00	50.00	-	16. Very impressive enlightenment.
b. Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)	50.00	50.00	-	-
c. Up to date	47.06	52.94	-	-

d. Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice	52.94	47.06		-
e. Related to International Legal Norms	14.29	71.43	14.29	18. Should have been really to last session.
III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAM				
PROPOSITIONS	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	Remarks
a. The structure and sequence of the program was logical	61.11	38.89	-	-
b. The program was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz.				
(i) Case studies were relevant	50.00	50.00	-	-
(ii) Interactive sessions were fruitful	55.56	44.44	-	-
(iii) Audio Visual Aids were beneficial	50.00	50.00	-	18. Not related with the topic.
<i>(To be modified as per the sessions planned)</i>				
IV SESSIONS WISE VETTING				
Parameters				
Session	Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized		The Session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons	
	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory
1	70.59	29.41	88.89	16.67
2	70.59	29.41	93.75	6.25
3	61.11	38.89	86.67	13.33
4	41.18	58.82	68.75	31.25
5	50.00	50.00	62.50	37.50
6	50.00	50.00	68.75	31.25
7	47.37	52.63	58.82	41.18
8	47.37	52.63	52.94	47.06
V. PROGRAM MATERIALS				
PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The Program material is useful and relevant	77.78	22.22	-	-

b. The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/ current thinking/ research/ policy in the discussed area	72.22	27.78	-	-
c. The content was organized and easy to follow	77.78	22.22	-	-

VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS

1. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Participant did not comment. 2. Participant did not comment. 3. All programme were useful. 4. Duties of High Court Judges with regard to judges of subordinate judiciary. 5. Can use the skills. 6. Participant did not comment. 7. 1. Sharing of knowledge; 2. Understanding our strength and weakness; 3. Knowing friends. 8. Corporate fraud. 9. 1. Interaction between judges; 2. Interactive sessions 3. Case Laws. 10. Supervision of district judiciary made to understand well; Election ills & misuse of present election system. 11. Participant did not comment. 12. I could refresh my knowledge & understanding in the field of constitutional law. 13. 1. Session 1: High Courts: Guardians of District Judiciary; 2. Judicial review/Interpretation of constitution; 3. Meta data of pictures/Cyber-crimes. 14. A new way of looking at legal question; Interaction with brothers to know about working of different High Courts; 3. Intellectually stimulatory (at times). 15. Judicial review & its limitation were well expounded & useful in day to day work. 16. Most benefited by Justice B.S. Chouhan; He is very updated, language was very lucid; Learnt a lot. 17. Session 1: High Courts: Guardians of District Judiciary; Session 4: Contemporary Challenges for Judicial Review, Policing Governance within Separation of Powers Framework and Session 7: Corporate
---	--

	<p>Fraud & Manipulation: Repercussions, Deterrent Mechanisms & Judicial Approach-on cyber-crime detection and techniques.</p> <p>18.1. To know about our court- under Session 3: Superior Courts: Managing Judicial Review within the Democratic Framework.</p>
<p>2. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Participant did not comment. 2. Participant did not comment. 3. Participant did not comment. 4. All the parts. 5. Over all sense of justice. 6. Participant did not comment. 7. All 8. Tribunalization of Justice & Judicial Review by Justice B.S. Chouhan. 9. Sharing of individual experience by judges. 10. Corporate crime. 11. Session 6: Free and Fair Elections – Vitalizing our Democratic Fabric: The Way Forward; Session 7: Corporate Fraud & Manipulation: Repercussions, Deterrent Mechanisms & Judicial Approach-on cyber-crime detection and techniques. 12. Session 1: High Courts: Guardians of District Judiciary; Session 2: Tribunalization of Justice: Boon or Bane; Session 3: Superior Courts: Managing Judicial Review within the Democratic Framework. 13. Judicial review because it is useful in day to day work of a judge. 14. Session 1: High Courts: Guardians of District Judiciary; Session 2: Tribunalization of Justice: Boon or Bane; Session 3: Superior Courts: Managing Judicial Review within the Democratic Framework; Session 6: Free and Fair Elections – Vitalizing our Democratic Fabric: The Way Forward and Session 7: Corporate Fraud & Manipulation: Repercussions, Deterrent Mechanisms & Judicial Approach-on cyber-crime detection and techniques. 15. Judicial review & its limitation were well expounded & useful in day to day work. 16. Session 1: High Courts: Guardians of District Judiciary; and Session 7: Corporate Fraud & Manipulation: Repercussions, Deterrent Mechanisms & Judicial Approach-on cyber-crime detection and techniques. 17. Session 2: Tribunalization of Justice: Boon or Bane; To reduce the pendency of cases in Higher Courts. 18. Session 1: High Courts: Guardians of District Judiciary.

<p>3. Which part of the Programme did you find least useful and why</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Participant did not comment. 2. Participant did not comment. 3. Participant did not comment. 4. Participant did not comment. 5. Interaction. 6. Participant did not comment. 7. Session 8: Jurisprudence of the PC & PNDT Act & Cultural, Social and Economic Factors that Promote Gender Bias: Context of the PC & PNDT Act in India- It is a subject we have been dealing with. 8. Participant did not comment. 9. Not much time was given for participation. 10. PC & PNDT 11. Participant did not comment. 12. Session 8: Jurisprudence of the PC & PNDT Act & Cultural, Social and Economic Factors that Promote Gender Bias: Context of the PC & PNDT Act in India. 13. Free and fair elections. Not so useful in day to day work of a judge. 14. Session 4: Contemporary Challenges for Judicial Review, Policing Governance within Separation of Powers Framework; Session 5: Construing the Sounds of Constitution's Speech: Meanings Beyond Text; Session 8: Jurisprudence of the PC & PNDT Act & Cultural, Social and Economic Factors that Promote Gender Bias: Context of the PC & PNDT Act in India- General discussion prevailed. 15. PC & PNDT Act- not directly relevant. In its place lecture-cum-interaction on appeals (Civil & Cons.) or with (Services) should have been included. 16. Session 6: Free and Fair Elections – Vitalizing our Democratic Fabric: The Way Forward. 17. Session 6: Free and Fair Elections – Vitalizing our Democratic Fabric: The Way Forward and applicable in day to day work of High Court. 18. Participant did not comment.
<p>4. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Participant did not comment. 2. Participant did not comment. 3. Participant did not comment. 4. Programme on digital evidence must be continue. 5. Can be concluded in 2 days. 6. Participant did not comment. 7. Should be more interactive.

	<p>8. Important topics but time constrain, so balance that.</p> <p>9. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>10. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>11. Still more areas and topics can be explored and some topics like How to manage the court proceedings can be given importance and one full day can be devoted for this kind of areas.</p> <p>12. Academy may consider to call Hon'ble sitting/retired justices of Supreme Court & eminent person in the field of Academics for such conference.</p> <p>13. Subjects may be selected on the basis of their applicability in day to day work of a High Court Judge.</p> <p>14. 1. Some section of lawyers, Bar Council members should also be allowed to participate, so that Advocates with whom judges have to deal regularly, should also match the judicial aspirations and people's expectation with judiciary; 2. Booklet/Literature should be provided at least a week before the workshop.</p> <p>15. By sending reading material well in advance.</p> <p>16. The topics of general importance which are in roster of the High Court should be addressed.</p> <p>17. NJA Programme should be conducted in respective High Courts.</p> <p>18. Participant did not comment.</p>
--	--