

NATIONAL JUDICIAL ACADEMY
P-1084: Workshop for Additional District Judges
2nd – 4th February, 2018

Programme Coordinator : Mr. Rajesh Suman, Faculty, NJA, Bhopal

No. of Participants : 31

No. of forms received : 31

I. OVERALL				
PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The objective of the Program was clear to me	90.32	9.68	-	-
b. The subject matter of the program is useful and relevant to my work	83.87	16.13	-	-
c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this program	83.87	16.13	-	-
d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	80.00	20.00	-	-
e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	80.00	20.00	-	-
II. KNOWLEDGE				
PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
The program provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:				
a. Useful to my work	89.66	10.34	-	-
b. Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)	74.19	25.81	-	-

c. Up to date	75.86	24.14	-	-
d. Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice	53.57	46.43	-	-
e. Related to International Legal Norms	40.00	40.00	20.00	-
III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAM				
PROPOSITIONS	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	Remarks
a. The structure and sequence of the program was logical	87.10	12.90	-	-
b. The program was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz.				
(i) Group discussion cleared many doubts	83.33	16.67	-	-
(ii) Case studies were relevant	89.29	10.71	-	-
(iii) Interactive sessions were fruitful	90.00	10.00	-	-
(iv) Audio Visual Aids were beneficial	78.57	17.86	3.57	-
<i>(To be modified as per the sessions planned)</i>				
IV SESSIONS WISE VETTING				
Parameters				
Session	Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized		The Session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons	
	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory
1	84.38	15.63	88.24	8.00
2	89.29	10.71	85.71	14.29
3	89.29	10.71	85.71	14.29
4	81.48	18.52	86.67	13.33
5	84.62	15.38	86.67	13.33
6	70.37	29.63	81.25	18.75
7	88.46	11.54	86.67	13.33
8	77.78	22.22	85.71	14.29
V. PROGRAM MATERIALS				

PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The Program material is useful and relevant	83.87	16.13	-	-
b. The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/ current thinking/ research/ policy in the discussed area	90.32	9.68	-	-
c. The content was organized and easy to follow	86.67	13.33	-	-

VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS

1. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Cyber law. 2. Improvement in ideas. Confidence while dealing with day to day procedures. Practical approach. 3. The day to day better management of the courts. To know and apply the provisions of electronic evidence in day to day proceeding. The ways how to expedite sessions trial and to hold fair trial also. 4. Day to day management of court work. Applying the provision of law in our daily court work. Appreciation of evidence. 5. Concept has been cleared. Clear concept as to legal provision. Ease of work in court. 6. Every part of training was excellent and knowledgeable. 7. The applications of provisions of law. the day to day management of court work which would reduce pendency. The skill and methodology for fair trail. 8. Participant did not comment. 9. Reading & patient listening. 10. Officers with treated with due regard and training was informative. 11. Knowledge of law, interpretation, implementation. 12. All programme were useful. 13. ADR and fair trail and sentencing. 14. Court management and fair trial and ADR. 15. Participant did not comment. 16. Court management, fair trial, Cyber Laws
---	---

	<p>17. Given me confidence, outlook has broadened and enriched my knowledge.</p> <p>18. Knowledge confidence and speed.</p> <p>19. Learning and updation of legal development. Case law management. By interaction updated myself.</p> <p>20. Discussion are informative, friendly lecturing.</p> <p>21. Good.</p> <p>22. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>23. Sentencing, Electrical evidence, Fair session trail, implementation of ADR.</p> <p>24. Learning up to date legal development. Case law management.</p> <p>25. Sentencing, Appeals, Revisions.</p> <p>26. Highly effective lecture by Dr. Harold D' Costa. Guided very well in the session of revisions and appeal. Some good knowledge gained in cyber law.</p> <p>27. I have learnt a lot.</p> <p>28. More exposed to Cyber Crime. Practical approach. Relevant to day to day use.</p> <p>29. Programme was enlightening. It is helpful for day to day court work. It is helpful for proper adjudication.</p> <p>30. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>31. Good arrangement.</p>
<p>2. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why</p>	<p>1. Session-3; Civil Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges <i>Court & Case Management: Role of Judges.</i></p> <p>2. Cyber Crime and evidence in relation thereto.</p> <p>3. Electronic evidence.</p> <p>4. Session-5; <i>Electronic Evidence: Collection, Preservation and Appreciation.</i> As it is the relevant portion in our daily work.</p> <p>5. Session-1; <i>Challenges in Implementation of ADR System in Subordinate Courts.</i> Session-2; <i>Court & Case Management: Role of Judges.</i> Session-5; <i>Electronic Evidence: Collection, Preservation and Appreciation.</i></p> <p>6. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>7. Electronic evidence.</p> <p>8. Session-5; <i>Electronic Evidence: Collection, Preservation and Appreciation.</i></p> <p>9. <i>All program.</i></p> <p>10. <i>All program.</i></p>

	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 11. All programme were useful. 12. Participant did not comment. 13. Cyber laws, electronic evidence, Session-5; Electronic Evidence: Collection, Preservation and Appreciation. 14. Cyber Crime, Session-5; Electronic Evidence: Collection, Preservation and Appreciation. 15. Participant did not comment. 16. Session-1; Challenges in Implementation of ADR System in Subordinate Courts. Session-4; Fair Sessions Trials. Session-5; Electronic Evidence: Collection, Preservation and Appreciation. 17. Fair session trail. 18. Participant did not comment. 19. Sentencing issues and challenge. 20. Information technology, the areas which was strange to me. 21. Good. 22. Participant did not comment. 23. Sentencing, electronic evidence, fair session trail, implementation of ADR. 24. Electrical evidence was very useful. 25. ADR. 26. Lecture by Dr. Harold D' Costa. 27. Overall. 28. Dr. Harold D' Costa – Cyber Crime detection. And Justice Shalini P. Joshi- Sentencing challenge. 29. All. 30. Cyber Law. 31. Group discussion.
<p>3. Which part of the Programme did you find least useful and why</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Participant did not comment. 2. There is no such part. 3. Cyber Crime portion because the session was only a lecture and there was no scope for interaction. 4. Session-8; Criminal Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District. More discussion is required to clear our doubts. Session-6; Laws relating to Cybercrimes: Advances and Problem Areas. 5. Participant did not comment. 6. Participant did not comment. 7. Participant did not comment.

	<p>8. Not even one.</p> <p>9. Not even one, all were useful.</p> <p>10. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>11. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>12. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>13. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>14. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>15. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>16. Court and case management role of judges more practical management programs must be imparted.</p> <p>17. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>18. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>19. Nothing.</p> <p>20. Good.</p> <p>21. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>22. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>23. All were useful.</p> <p>24. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>25. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>26. None.</p> <p>27. Nil.</p> <p>28. Nil.</p> <p>29. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>30. Participant did not comment.</p>
<p>4. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective</p>	<p>1. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>2. It is already serving better.</p> <p>3. the period of the training is required to be increased from 3 days to at least 5 days because many queries remained unanswered.</p> <p>4. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>5. It is working well.</p> <p>6. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>7. Such programs should be conducted more often to improve working capacity and give better direction.</p> <p>8. Family members be allowed to accompany specially for lady officers.</p>

9. More opportunity of participants to participate.
10. All programme were useful.
11. Enlightening us on recent enactments.
12. Participant did not comment.
13. Participant did not comment.
14. Participant did not comment.
15. Participant did not comment.
16. Participant did not comment.
17. Experience was over all very good.
18. A little more coverage of subject will benefit us.
19. Kindly arrange special programme for POCSO Act.
20. Nil.
21. Good.
22. Participant did not comment.
23. Participant did not comment.
24. All is well.
25. NJA, is doing will. Keep it up.
26. Kindly if possible send the reading material in through the e-mail.
27. Such kind of workshop may be conducted frequently. More specifically on medical jurisprudence, forensic science and investigational aspects of case on trial.
28. To discuss practical problem in future.
29. Everything is alright.
30. Participant did not comment.
31. Participant did not comment.