

NATIONAL JUDICIAL ACADEMY
P-1101: Workshop for Additional District Judges
17th - 19th August, 2018

Programme Coordinator : Dr. Amit Mehrotra, Assistant Professor
No. of Participants : 35
No. of forms received : 34

I. OVERALL				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The objective of the Program was clear to me	90.91	9.09	-	4. Very good 14. The programme was well structured; it had all the scope and significance which was relevant to our work and purpose. 22. The programme is well structured & we were enlightened.
b. The subject matter of the program is useful and relevant to my work	87.88	12.12	-	4. Very good 14. The programme was well structured; it had all the scope and significance which was relevant to our work and purpose. 22. The programme is well structured & we were enlightened.
c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this program	93.94	6.06	-	4. Very good 14. The programme was well structured; it had all the scope and significance which was relevant to our

				work and purpose. 22. The programme is well structured & we were enlightened.
d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	93.94	6.06	-	4. Very good 14. The programme was well structured; it had all the scope and significance which was relevant to our work and purpose. 22. The programme is well structured & we were enlightened.
e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	69.70	30.30	-	4. Very good 12. Participants must be given time to engage in interact. 14. The programme was well structured; it had all the scope and significance which was relevant to our work and purpose. 22. The programme is well structured & we were enlightened.
II. KNOWLEDGE				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
The program provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:				
a. Useful to my work	93.94	6.06	-	4. Very good 14. The programme was up to date and

				relevant case law was discussed. 22. Yes, the programme is very useful in our day to day practical activity.
b. Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)	93.94	6.06	-	4. Comprehensive 14. The programme was up to date and relevant case law was discussed. 22. Yes, the programme is very useful in our day to day practical activity.
c. Up to date	87.50	12.50	-	4. Yes 14. The programme was up to date and relevant case law was discussed. 22. Yes, the programme is very useful in our day to day practical activity.
d. Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice	60.00	36.67	3.33	4. Very good
e. Related to international legal norms	48.15	37.04	14.81	4. Not at all
III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAM				
PROPOSITION	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	Remarks
a. The structure and sequence of the program was logical	91.18	8.82	-	22. It was very good.
b. The program was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz.				
(i) Interactive sessions were fruitful	66.67	33.33	-	12. Less interaction sessions were held. 22. It was very good.
(ii) Audio Visual Aids were beneficial	68.97	27.59	3.44	22. It was very good.
IV SESSIONS WISE VETTING				

Parameters				
Session	Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized		The Session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons	
	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory
1	90.63	9.37	92.86	7.14
2	93.10	6.90	96.00	4.00
3	86.21	13.79	96.00	4.00
4	96.55	3.45	100.00	-
5	90.00	10.00	96.15	3.85
6	86.67	13.33	92.00	8.00
7	90.00	10.00	88.46	11.54
8	90.00	10.00	92.00	8.00
V. PROGRAM MATERIALS				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The Program material is useful and relevant	94.12	5.88	-	14. Immense labour appears to have been spent on compilation of the material and making it relevant.
b. The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/ current thinking/ research/ policy in the discussed area	85.29	14.71	-	14. Immense labour appears to have been spent on compilation of the material and making it relevant. 22. Satisfactory.
c. The content was organized and easy to follow	83.87	16.13	-	14. Immense labour appears to have been spent on compilation of the material and making it relevant.

VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS

<p>1. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme</p>	<p>1. Gained experience relating to conduct in court; 2. Gained experience in court case management; 3. Gained experience in how to deal with cases.</p> <p>2. 1. All the programme are constructive and useful; 2. We got an opportunity to share views with participants from across the country; 3. Innovative thoughts on various aspects were gained.</p> <p>3. Appeals and Revisions in both civil and criminal; ADR; Sentencing.</p> <p>4. Cyber-crime, Electronic evidence & Administration of Justice.</p> <p>5. Court Management; Cyber-crime; Civil/Criminal administration. Programme was comprehensive.</p> <p>6. 1. Trick of court and case management; 2. Behaviour as a judge; 3. How to shift from advocacy mindset to mindset of P.O. of Court.</p> <p>7. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>8. Updated, knowledge of the law.</p> <p>9. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>10. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>11. Useful in court working; Interaction with other officers. Updating latest legal knowledge.</p> <p>12. Largely benefitted from the teachings of resource persons.</p> <p>13. Cyber law and electronic evidence; Thirdly, sessions trial.</p> <p>14. 1. Marshalling of facts of the case in thorough manner; 2. Appreciate and re-appreciate the evidence as the case may be; 3. Apply the relevant law keeping in view its letter and spirit for the dispensation of justice.</p> <p>15. Got comprehensive understanding; Useful to the duties to be discharged as Additional District Judge; 3. Vast subject covered in limited manner.</p> <p>16. Latest case law.</p> <p>17. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>18. Cyber-crimes.</p> <p>19. Learnt enough from all the topics.</p> <p>20. On criminal & civil justice Administration; Sentencing.</p> <p>21. Over all the programme was very good and satisfactory.</p> <p>22. Knowledge & interaction level of delegates was high.</p> <p>23. Session 3: Fair Sessions Trial; Sentencing issues and challenges.</p> <p>24. Laws relating to cyber law; Fair Session Trial.</p> <p>25. Participant did not comment.</p>
------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

	<p>26. 1. Able to hear experience of elder members of the family. 2. How to manage court; 3. Disposal of case.</p> <p>27. 1. Criminal revision. 2. Cyber-crime; 3. Electronic evidence.</p> <p>28. 1. Knowledge was updated; 2. Opportunity to interact with judges from various parts of India.</p> <p>29. 1. Case laws updated; 2. Improved my knowledge; 3. Better command on court proceeding.</p> <p>30. Updated legal knowledge; ADR system in India.</p> <p>31. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>32. Learnt; Updated.</p> <p>33. 1. Updated legal knowledge; 2. Interacting with jurists; 3. Key points of new legal jurisprudence were discussed.</p> <p>34. 1. Appeal both criminal & civil; 2. Cyber-crimes; 3. Electronic Evidence.</p>
<p>2. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why</p>	<p>1. ADR/Court Case Management-because such programme will effectively reduce work pressure on judges.</p> <p>2. Sessions 6: Sentencing: Issue and Challenges- The said programme has given a new dimension and is very useful.</p> <p>3. Session relating to cyber-crimes as the same shall be useful in dealing with electronic evidence.</p> <p>4. Laws relating to cyber-crime.</p> <p>5. Cyber-crime because at present in most matters electronic evidence is relied upon; Court Management- Due to huge pendency it is necessary to control and manage board and other work.</p> <p>6. Case management; Court Management; Citations supplied by the Resource Persons.</p> <p>7. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>8. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>9. Entire programme is useful.</p> <p>10. Digital evidence and its application.</p> <p>11. Electronic evidence because new concept was been seen & realized.</p> <p>12. Electronic evidence.</p> <p>13. Cyber law and electronic evidence.</p> <p>14. All the programme are useful for the aforementioned reasons.</p> <p>15. Session 4: Laws relating to Cybercrime: Advances and Bottlenecks; Session 5: Electronic Evidence: Collection, Preservation and Appreciation.</p> <p>16. Cyber-crime; Electronic Evidence.</p>

	<p>17. Mr. Harold D’Costa session.</p> <p>18. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>19. All</p> <p>20. Cyber-crime; ADR.</p> <p>21. All the programme because of applicability in practical situations.</p> <p>22. Mr. Harold D’Costa.</p> <p>23. <i>Session 5: Electronic Evidence: Collection, Preservation and Appreciation</i>-useful in court work.</p> <p>24. Cyber laws - because it is latest one.</p> <p>25. Cyber-crime - because of it is a new subject for us and we don't have sufficient knowledge of it.</p> <p>26. Cyber offence and civil and criminal appeals because elaborately discussed.</p> <p>27. The civil revision part is most useful for me.</p> <p>28. All the parts of programme are good.</p> <p>29. Nil</p> <p>30. ADR.</p> <p>31. Part related to cyber-crime & electronic evidence.</p> <p>32. Interactive sessions.</p> <p>33. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>34. Appeal both civil & criminal.</p>
<p>3. Which part of the Programme did you find least useful and why</p>	<p>1. Nothing.</p> <p>2. Nil.</p> <p>3. None.</p> <p>4. No.</p> <p>5. More frequent programme.</p> <p>6. All were useful but in comparison, the least one is Criminal Appellate Jurisdiction due to shortage of time.</p> <p>7. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>8. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>9. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>10. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>11. Court management.</p> <p>12. Court management.</p>

	<p>13. Most part render benefit.</p> <p>14. NA</p> <p>15. <i>Session 3: Fair Session Trial.</i></p> <p>16. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>17. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>18. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>19. None.</p> <p>20. All are useful.</p> <p>21. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>22. NA.</p> <p>23. Court and case management.</p> <p>24. Court & case management.</p> <p>25. None.</p> <p>26. None.</p> <p>27. Nil.</p> <p>28. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>29. Nil</p> <p>30. Movie screening.</p> <p>31. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>32. None.</p> <p>33. Movie screening.</p> <p>34. None.</p>
<p>4. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective</p>	<p>1. NJA may arrange programme on administrative and accounts matters relating to courts.</p> <p>2. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>3. Group discussion.</p> <p>4. More regular programme.</p> <p>5. Programme was good, knowledgeable & comprehensive. On special enactments sessions should be arranged.</p> <p>6. Up-date case laws should be sent to the P.O.</p> <p>7. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>8. Participant did not comment.</p>

9. No.
10. No.
11. Sending the material in advance through official email IDs.
12. Participant did not comment.
13. The programme may be for a longer period.
14. The interaction between and amongst the participating judges and delegates may be considered since it will give them a better understanding of working of each other.
15. Participant did not comment.
16. Participant did not comment.
17. Participant did not comment.
18. Please circulate useful matter.
19. The present condition is satisfactory.
20. Allowing the participants to address on the topics.
21. Very good and satisfactory.
22. Study material should be sent in advance & some sessions/conference for women judges.
23. Spouse must be allowed.
24. Kindly give some session for interaction to satisfy queries.
25. Participant did not comment.
26. Please prepare questions on all subjects to discuss among participants.
27. Everything is fine.
28. Participant did not comment.
29. Participant did not comment.
30. No.
31. These type of programme should be more comprehensive.
32. Interactive session must be increased.
33. Everything is good; Burning topics were discussed. Study material be provided to State Judicial Academies.

	34. All is right, No comment.
--	-------------------------------