

NATIONAL JUDICIAL ACADEMY

P-1052: National Judicial Conference for Newly Elevated High Court Justices on Public Law

13th – 15th October, 2017

Programme Coordinator : Mr. Rajesh Suman, Assistant Professor, NJA, Bhopal

No. of Participants : 23

No. of forms received : 21

I. OVERALL				
PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The objective of the Program was clear to me	95.24	4.76	-	-
b. The subject matter of the program is useful and relevant to my work	80.95	19.05	-	-
c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this program	85.71	14.29	-	-
d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	85.71	14.29	-	-
e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	66.67	28.57	4.76	-
II. KNOWLEDGE				
PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
The program provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:				
a. Useful to my work	71.43	28.57	-	-
b. Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)	57.14	42.86	-	-
c. Up to date	66.67	33.33	-	-
d. Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice	90.48	9.52	-	-

e. Related to International Legal Norms	31.58	57.89	10.53	-
III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAM				
PROPOSITIONS	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	Remarks
a. The structure and sequence of the program was logical	66.67	33.33	-	-
b. The program was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz.				
(i) Group discussion cleared many doubts	31.58	68.42	-	-
(ii) Case studies were relevant	77.78	22.22	-	-
(iii) Interactive sessions were fruitful	45.00	55.00	-	-
(iv) Audio Visual Aids were beneficial	38.46	46.15	15.38	-
<i>(To be modified as per the sessions planned)</i>				
IV SESSIONS WISE VETTING				
Parameters				
Session	Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized		The Session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons	
	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory	Effective and useful	satisfactory
1	85.71	14.29	93.33	6.67
2	70.00	30.00	76.92	23.08
3	75.00	25.00	84.62	15.38
4	61.11	38.89	71.43	28.57
5	66.67	33.33	92.86	7.14
6	57.89	42.11	76.92	23.08
7	88.24	11.76	84.62	15.38
8	88.24	11.76	84.62	15.38
9	88.24	11.74	84.62	15.38
V. PROGRAM MATERIALS				
PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks

a. The Program material is useful and relevant	80.95	19.05	-	-
b. The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/ current thinking/ research/ policy in the discussed area	71.43	28.57	-	-
c. The content was organized and easy to follow	76.19	23.80	-	-

VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS

<p>a. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Session 9: The Art of Hearing- Proper understanding of case giving a judgment useful to the society. 2. Restriction of fundamental rights; Art of hearing; Concept of justice. 3. Concepts of constitutional law are clear to a great extent. 4. 1. How to convince the society at large by decisions; 2. Identified way for advancement of law; 3. Drawing lines for court to act within. 5. Hear and dispose cases with an open mind and listening. Litigants must have the satisfaction that their cases have been heard. 6. Theory of judicial review. It will help in day to day hearing of court cases. 7. Session 1: The Constitutional Vision of Justice: Round Table Discussion; Session 2: Court Management; Session 3: Information and Communication Technology in Courts; Session 4: Theories of Judicial Review; Session 5: Separation of Powers and Session 6: Allocation of Legislative Powers. 8. Insight into the concept of justice; its meaning; Inspiration of powers was very thought provoking; Art of hearing very relevant in this transection from lawyer to judge. 9. Session 7: Fundamental Rights and Restrictions on Entrenched Rights; Session 8: Theory of Basic Features: Contours and Session 9: The Art of Hearing. 10. 1. Court Management & Case Management; 2. To achieve social objective through judgement; 3. Art of hearing. 11. Good 12. Participant did not comment. 13. Court Management; Act of hearing; Judicial Review.
--	--

	<p>14. Basic structures of Constitutional laws and Art of hearing.</p> <p>15. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>16. Justice should be according to the scientific systematic standard of human conduct with open and un prejudiced mind; As per law upholding the constitution making the party feel of good Imagine heavenly</p> <p>17. 1. Court Management; 2. Separation of Power; 3. Art of Hearing.</p> <p>18. 1. Constitutional vision; 2. Theory of judicial review; 3. Art of hearing.</p> <p>19. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>20. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>21. 1. Judicial review and separation of powers; 2. Allocation of legislative powers; 3. Art of hearing.</p>
<p>b. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why</p>	<p>1. Session 9: The Art of Hearing- make the judge to useful for the benefit are institution and in such to the society.</p> <p>2. Session 1: The Constitutional Vision of Justice: Round Table Discussion.</p> <p>3. Session 8: Theory of Basic Features: Contours.</p> <p>4. Session 9: The Art of Hearing- for the reason that everyone should be combined by art of hearing.</p> <p>5. All programme useful most useful- the constitutional vision of justice.</p> <p>6. Court management. It will help in managing court affairs more effectively.</p> <p>7. Session 4: Theories of Judicial Review; Session 5: Separation of Powers- relating to judicial review & separating of powers as the concept is applicable in day to day situation faced by the judges.</p> <p>8. All were very enriching intellectually. The ambience of the Academy was very stress relieving.</p> <p>9. Session 4: Theories of Judicial Review; Session 5: Separation of Powers; Session 7: Fundamental Rights and Restrictions on Entrenched Rights; Session 8: Theory of Basic Features: Contours and Session 9: The Art of Hearing.</p> <p>10. Session 1: The Constitutional Vision of Justice: Round Table Discussion.</p> <p>11. Session 1: The Constitutional Vision of Justice: Round Table Discussion.</p> <p>12. Session 1: The Constitutional Vision of Justice: Round Table Discussion; Session 2: Court Management; Session 3: Information and Communication Technology in Courts.</p> <p>13. Interactive session on the first day with Prof. (Dr.) G. Mohan Gopal and Justice G.C. Bharuka.</p>

	<p>14. Session 9: The Art of Hearing- Had a very good guidance to handle and disposal of cases.</p> <p>15. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>16. Each and every moment.</p> <p>17. Allocation of legislative powers- The federal Architecture.</p> <p>18. Session 9: The Art of Hearing- because there in very few study material in the subject and only practical experiences can be shared.</p> <p>19. Session 8: Theory of Basic Features: Contours and Session 9: The Art of Hearing.</p> <p>20. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>21. Judicial review- because, I, as a judge, should know my limitations, while interpreting constitutional provisions.</p>
<p>c. Which part of the Programme did you find least useful and why</p>	<p>1. Computer programme not court for depending such type.</p> <p>2. Session 6: Allocation of Legislative Powers- The Federal Architecture.</p> <p>3. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>4. Session 6: Allocation of Legislative Powers- The Federal Architecture- for the reasons that same is close with legislation.</p> <p>5. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>6. Session 9: The Art of Hearing- A judge cannot divide case by hearing case at length as desired by a lawyer as at many drop daily disposal of cases some of which are furious cases.</p> <p>7. None.</p> <p>8. I thing all were equally important and grading them would be doing emulative to such a beautiful experience.</p> <p>9. Session 2: Court Management; Session 3: Information and Communication Technology in Courts.</p> <p>10. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>11. None</p> <p>12. Session 7: Fundamental Rights and Restrictions on Entrenched Rights; Session 8: Theory of Basic Features: Contours.</p> <p>13. Papers read out by Mr. Sudhish Pai V.</p>

	<p>14. Participant did not comment. 15. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>16. Each and every parts.</p> <p>17. Allocation of legislative powers- The federal Architecture.</p> <p>18. Information and CT in court because no useful information could be provided and the Criticize made was on wrong premises.</p> <p>19. All programme are useful. 20. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>21. Session 3: Information and Communication Technology in Courts.</p>
<p>d. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective</p>	<p>1. It discussion are made with reference of case law, to will be only make useful.</p> <p>2. Participant did not comment. 3. It should be an interactive session.</p> <p>4. Practical version of different field should be taken for advancement of reasoning and adjudicating.</p> <p>5. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>6. Please add lectures and discussion on civil and criminal laws as well.</p> <p>7. Keep it up with the same pace.</p> <p>8. If the study material could have been made available before we reached the Academy.</p> <p>9. Before the subject proper is taken up; some background/ details must be given or explained in the session. Delegation do not have the experience of the Resource Person always.</p> <p>10. Participant did not comment. 11. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>12. Session 9: The Art of Hearing- is very important for newly elevated judges please do the needful.</p> <p>13. When the speakers read out the prepared materials, a copy of which should be provided to the participants.</p> <p>14. Participant did not comment. 15. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>16. I am satisfied with the programme.</p> <p>17. In three days- it should be criminal law all day then civil law second day then constitutional third day it will cover whole of the subject.</p>

	<p>18. The topics and study materials be supplied at least one month before the programme and there should be group discussion.</p> <p>19. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>20. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>21. Participant did not comment.</p>
--	---