

# National Judicial Academy

**P-1188:** Workshop for Additional District Judges

15<sup>th</sup> to 17<sup>th</sup> November, 2019

**Programme Coordinator** : Mr. Amit Mehrotra, Assistant Professor

**No. of Participants** : 39

**No. of forms received** : 39

I. OVERALL				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The objective of the Program was clear to me	<b>92.31</b>	<b>7.69</b>	-	8. Good.
b. The subject matter of the program is useful and relevant to my work	<b>92.31</b>	<b>7.69</b>	-	8. Good.
c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this program	<b>87.18</b>	<b>12.82</b>	-	8. Good.
d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	<b>94.74</b>	<b>5.26</b>	-	8. Good.
e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	<b>71.79</b>	<b>25.64</b>	<b>2.57</b>	8. More sharing to be done.  38. It should be more from participants than speakers.
II. KNOWLEDGE				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
The program provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:				
a. Useful to my work	<b>87.18</b>	<b>12.82</b>	-	8. Good.
b. Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)	<b>84.62</b>	<b>15.38</b>	-	8. Good.
c. Up to date	<b>84.21</b>	<b>15.79</b>	-	-

d. Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice	<b>77.78</b>	<b>22.22</b>	<b>-</b>	8. Good.
e. Related to international legal norms	<b>48.57</b>	<b>48.57</b>	<b>2.86</b>	8. Good.
<b>III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAM</b>				
<b>PROPOSITION</b>	<b>Good</b>	<b>Satisfactory</b>	<b>Unsatisfactory</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
a. The structure and sequence of the program was logical	<b>89.74</b>	<b>10.26</b>	<b>-</b>	8. Good.
b. The program was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz.				
(i) Interactive sessions were fruitful	<b>76.32</b>	<b>21.05</b>	<b>2.63</b>	8. Good.
(ii) Audio Visual Aids were beneficial	<b>77.78</b>	<b>19.44</b>	<b>2.78</b>	-
<b>IV SESSIONS WISE VETTING</b>				
<b>Parameters</b>				
<b>Session</b>	<b>Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized</b>		<b>The Session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons</b>	
	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory
1	<b>81.58</b>	<b>18.42</b>	<b>84.62</b>	<b>15.38</b>
2	<b>91.89</b>	<b>8.11</b>	<b>88.00</b>	<b>12.00</b>
3	<b>83.78</b>	<b>16.22</b>	<b>84.00</b>	<b>16.00</b>
4	<b>75.68</b>	<b>24.32</b>	<b>64.00</b>	<b>36.00</b>
5	<b>81.08</b>	<b>18.92</b>	<b>76.00</b>	<b>24.00</b>
6	<b>83.78</b>	<b>16.22</b>	<b>80.00</b>	<b>20.00</b>
7	<b>91.89</b>	<b>8.11</b>	<b>88.00</b>	<b>12.00</b>
8	<b>97.30</b>	<b>2.70</b>	<b>96.00</b>	<b>4.00</b>
<b>V. PROGRAM MATERIALS</b>				
<b>PROPOSITION</b>	<b>To a great extent</b>	<b>To some extent</b>	<b>Not at all</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
a. The Program material is useful and relevant	<b>92.11</b>	<b>7.89</b>	<b>-</b>	8. Good.
b. The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/	<b>97.14</b>	<b>2.86</b>	<b>-</b>	8. Good.

current thinking/ research/ policy in the discussed area				
c. The content was organized and easy to follow	<b>94.29</b>	<b>5.71</b>	-	8. Good.

<b>VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS</b>	
a. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme	<p>1. 1. Enhance our judicial work to a session extent; 2. Cyber law clear was may useful; 3. Judicial training is very essential.</p> <p>2. Court &amp; case management; Cyber law.</p> <p>3. More session on cyber law, FSL law and medical jurisprudence.</p> <p>4. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>5. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>6. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>7. 1. Clarity; 2. Transparency; 3. Gained knowledge.</p> <p>8. Discussion on important topics sited by case law relating to practical problem which officers face has been important learning to us.</p> <p>9. I have educated were on topics.</p> <p>10. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>11. Teaching period is good, discussion good and good interaction.</p> <p>12. Up to date case law.</p> <p>13. Interactive with faulty, fellow participant &amp; answer to my queries.</p> <p>14. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>15. Excellent.</p> <p>16. Excellent, no comments.</p> <p>17. Appreciation and revision jurisdiction of district judges, court management and case management, law relating to cyber-crime and electronic evidence.</p> <p>18. Fair session trial; Civil justice administration; Sentencing issue.</p> <p>19. Updated with new knowledge; Civil; Criminal and; Other provisions of law.</p> <p>20. 1. Make ourselves up date; 2. Useful in day to day work; 3. How to manage court work.</p> <p>21. 1. Gained knowledge; 2. Very much useful to our work; 3. Recent case laws updates very useful.</p> <p>22. 1. Gained knowledge; 2. Very much useful; 3. Recent case laws updates very useful.</p> <p>23. 1. Programme was knowledgeable; 2. Useful and relevant to the judicial work; 3. Helpful one for new learning skills &amp; ideas.</p> <p>24. 1. Gained knowledge that the subjects/topics chosen; 2. Programme is useful in the working; 3. Subject wise relevant in the current situation.</p>

	<p>25. 1. Law relating to cyber-crime; 2. Civil justice administration; 3. Criminal Justice administration.</p> <p>26. 1. Law related to cyber-crime; 2. Civil appeal; 3. Criminal appeal.</p> <p>27. 1. Fair session's trial; 2. Sentencing issues and challenges; 3. Laws relating to cyber-crime and others all are also important learning programmes.</p> <p>28. Speakers should be use of Hindi and English both the language.</p> <p>29. Very useful, enhance practical knowledge.</p> <p>30. All the sessions are important through deliberating and discussing it enables me to learn more about the latest discussion in case laws etc.</p> <p>31. Updated my knowledge on civil justice administration fair session trail and electronic evidence.</p> <p>32. Resource persons explained all aspects, Interactive session were also beneficial. This programme will be useful for our court work.</p> <p>33. Get opportunity to -Update topics; Discussion; Refresh.</p> <p>34. 1. Court &amp; case management: Role of judges; 2. Challenges in implementation of ADR system; 3. Fair session trial.</p> <p>35. Practical aspects efficient management; New horizon.</p> <p>36. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>37. 1. Cyber-crime; 2. Criminal appeal of revision; 3. Civil appeal of revision.</p> <p>38. Judges all over the country have same set of problems and expectations from the academy for training.</p> <p>39. Criminal justice administration; Electronic evidences; Sentencing issues and challenges.</p>
<p>b. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why</p>	<p>1. Challenges in implementation of ADR system in subordinate courts; 2. Cyber-crime related cases.</p> <p>2. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>3. Cyber law.</p> <p>4. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>5. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>6. All the programme.</p> <p>7. 1. <b>Session 1: Fair Sessions Trial; Session 2: Criminal Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges; Session 3: Sentencing: Issues and Challenges; 2. Session 4: Challenges in Implementation of ADR System in Subordinate Courts; Session 5: Court &amp; Case Management: Role of Judges; Session 6: Civil Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges; 3. Session 7 : Laws relating to Cybercrime: Advances and Bottlenecks; Session 8 : Electronic Evidence: Collection, Preservation and Appreciation.</b></p> <p>8. All the topics were very useful. Lectures on ADR methods and electronic evidences were most useful.</p> <p>9. Cyber-crimes.</p> <p>10. <b>Session 1: Fair Sessions Trial; Session 2: Criminal Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges; Session 7: Laws relating to</b></p>

*Cybercrime: Advances and Bottlenecks; Session 8: Electronic Evidence: Collection, Preservation and Appreciation – being very informative.*

11. All are good & useful.

12. Exchange of view.

13. All.

14. Participant did not comment.

15. Cyber-crime and 65B of evidence act because it in a new era of law and the guidelines were excellent.

16. Cyber laws and appreciation of evidence through electronic media.

17. All.

18. *Session 2: Criminal Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges; Session 6: Civil Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges.*

19. Every programme found most useful in view of giving elaborate explanation of the provisions.

20. All.

21. Civil appeal.

22. Cyber-crimes.

23. *Session 2: Criminal Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges –was most useful. As I have learnt a lot.*

24. *Session 2: Criminal Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges –I come to know about new things.*

25. Cyber-crime, because it is very relevant for criminal cases, with emerging technology.

26. Cyber-crime, because it is very relevant and useful to appreciation of electronic evidence.

27. Each and every programme find useful because they all are very useful for me in future in my daily work.

28. All of useful.

29. *Session 3: Sentencing: Issues and Challenges; Session 8: Electronic Evidence: Collection, Preservation and Appreciation.*

30. All the programmes are useful for day to day requirement in the court.

31. *Session 6: Civil Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges - as I have not get dealt with it before.*

32. All programme were useful.

33. Discussion on trial part was most useful because it is followed day to day.

34. Court & case management; Role of judge.

35. *Session 1: Fair Sessions Trial; Session 2 : Criminal Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges; Session 3 : Sentencing: Issues and Challenges; Session 4 : Challenges in Implementation of ADR System in Subordinate Courts; Session 5 : Court & Case Management: Role of Judges; Session 6 : Civil Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of*

	<p><i>District Judges and Session 8 : Electronic Evidence: Collection, Preservation and Appreciation</i> –because relevant.</p> <p>36. Laws relating cyber-crime and admissibility of electronic evidence.</p> <p>37. Cyber-crimes; An area which is not programming coming for application.</p> <p>38. <b>Session 7: Laws relating to Cybercrime: Advances and Bottlenecks; Session 8: Electronic Evidence: Collection, Preservation and Appreciation</b> –because resource persons were well prepared and topic had relevance and forward looking.</p> <p>39. Electronic evidence.</p>
<p>c. Which part of the Programme did you find least useful and why</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Appeal and revision.</li> <li>2. Participant did not comment.</li> <li>3. Participant did not comment.</li> <li>4. Participant did not comment.</li> <li>5. Participant did not comment.</li> <li>6. Participant did not comment.</li> <li>7. None.</li> <li>8. None as such.</li> <li>9. ADR because their programme is not properly address the problems.</li> <li>10. <b>Session 1: Fair Sessions Trial; Session 2: Criminal Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges; Session 3: Sentencing: Issues and Challenges; Session 7: Laws relating to Cybercrime: Advances and Bottlenecks; Session 8: Electronic Evidence: Collection, Preservation and Appreciation</b> – being very informative.</li> <li>11. Does not arise.</li> <li>12. No.</li> <li>13. None.</li> <li>14. Participant did not comment.</li> <li>15. Everything is useful.</li> <li>16. Everything is useful.</li> <li>17. None.</li> <li>18. No.</li> <li>19. Participant did not comment.</li> <li>20. No.</li> <li>21. All the subjects taken doughty programme is good.</li> <li>22. All the programme are useful to me.</li> <li>23. Nil.</li> <li>24. Nil.</li> <li>25. None.</li> <li>26. Participant did not comment.</li> <li>27. Each and every programme find useful because they all are very useful for me in future in my daily work.</li> </ol>

	<p>28. 1. Trial; 2. Appeal and revision criminal and civil electronic evidence is also useful.</p> <p>29. <b>Session 4: Challenges in Implementation of ADR System in Subordinate Courts; Session 5: Court &amp; Case Management: Role of Judges.</b></p> <p>30. Nil, all are useful.</p> <p>31. <b>Session 4: Challenges in Implementation of ADR System in Subordinate Courts-</b> It's there was not adequately addressed by the resource persons.</p> <p>32. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>33. None was least useful.</p> <p>34. No.</p> <p>35. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>36. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>37. Civil appeal.</p> <p>38. <b>Session 1: Fair Sessions Trial; Session 2: Criminal Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges; Session 3: Sentencing: Issues and Challenges-</b>as same were not based on updated knowledge of actual problems and workable solutions.</p> <p>39. Participant did not comment.</p>
<p>d. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective</p>	<p>1. Every judicial officers should be given training twice a year at NJA. More institution service may be included.</p> <p>2. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>3. More session on cyber law, FSL law and medical jurisprudence.</p> <p>4. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>5. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>6. Programme being conducted now itself effectively.</p> <p>7. The programme I attended is very useful. It enhanced my knowledge a lot. I am quite satisfied.</p> <p>8. More case laws on various topic may kindly be supplied to us.</p> <p>9. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>10. Programme may be longer.</p> <p>11. This type of programme should be given for a period of 7 days.</p> <p>12. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>13. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>14. Water is not providing on table during workshop. It is bad to stand on &amp; often for water. Please provide water on table.</p> <p>15. Proper extent system will be in bathroom.</p> <p>16. Rooms are unhygienic and bad small are always in the room.</p> <p>17. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>18. Spouse be attend to stay with the officer.</p>

	<p>19. Special workshop can be arranged on commercial courts act NDPS and other special act exclusively tribally by the sessions/special courts.</p> <p>20. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>21. Audio to be marked.</p> <p>22. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>23. At least twice as is year such programmes should be conducted.</p> <p>24. Frequent programmes should be conducted.</p> <p>25. Number of sessions may increase.</p> <p>26. Increasing the day of training schedule up to 7 days.</p> <p>27. No suggestions required because NJA serve always serve better and make its programmes more effective.</p> <p>28. Interact in Hindi and English languages.</p> <p>29. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>30. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>31. Interactive session with the participants.</p> <p>32. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>33. Programme are already excellent but may add one day local visit.</p> <p>34. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>35. More interactive sessions may be conducted.</p> <p>36. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>37. It is to be suggest that there case clearer on forensic same addressed expresses.</p> <p>38. Involve more academicians than judges; Serving judge of district judicial should be made resource persons then Rtd. Hon'ble judges.</p> <p>39. Participant did not comment.</p>
--	--